# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA NEW ALBANY DIVISION

In re:	) Chapter 11
	)
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC,	) Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11
	)
Debtor.	)

# TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND SETTLEMENT WITH ESTATE OF GARY TATE d/b/a TATE RANCH

James A. Knauer, the Chapter 11 Trustee ("<u>Trustee</u>") appointed in the above captioned case ("<u>Chapter 11 Case</u>") for the estate ("<u>Estate</u>") of Eastern Livestock Co., LLC ("<u>Debtor</u>"), by counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an Order approving the proposed compromise attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u> ("<u>Settlement Agreement</u>") with the Estate of Gary Tate d/b/a Tate Ranch ("<u>Tate</u>" or "<u>Estate of Tate</u>"). In support of this motion, the Trustee says:

### **BACKGROUND & JURISDICTION**

- 1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned Chapter 11 Case against the Debtor on December 6, 2010 by filing an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Court entered an *Order For Relief in An Involuntary Case and Order to Complete Filing* [Dkt. No. 110] on December 28, 2010. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered an Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee [Dkt. No. 102] pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019.
- 3. The Trustee filed the *Trustee's Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on July 23, 2012 [Dkt. No. 1255] and the *First Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on October 26, 2012

[Dkt. No. 1490] ("<u>Plan</u>"). The Court entered an Order on December 17, 2012 [Dkt. No. 1644] confirming the Plan.

#### **SETTLEMENT**

- 4. In the ninety (90) day period prior to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Case, the Trustee alleges Tate received not less than \$99,789.85 in transfers from the Debtor (collectively, the "Transfers").
- 5. On December 20, 2012, the Trustee initiated an adversary proceeding against Tate in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Indiana, New Albany Division as adversary proceeding number 12-59137, asserting that such Transfers may be avoided and recovered by the Trustee pursuant to, among other things, sections 547 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Preference Adversary"), subject to the defenses provided under section 547(c) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 6. Further, the Trustee also named Tate as a party to answer as to any interest claimed in money interpled by Innovative Livestock Services ("Interpled Funds") in connection with Adversary Proceeding No. 11-59098 (the "Interpleader Adversary").
  - 7. The Trustee contends that the Interpled Funds are property of Debtor's Estate.
- 8. The Trustee and Tate desire to resolve and settle all issues, disputes, claims, and causes of action between them without resorting to further litigation. Without admission of any fault or liability and, as a result of good faith settlement negotiations, they have agreed to settle and resolve all such issues, disputes, claims and causes of action as summarized below and more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Under the proposed Settlement Agreement, Tate will disclaim any and all interest in the Interpled Funds in exchange for the Trustee's dismissal of Tate from the Interpleader

Adversary and the Preference Adversary. Tate and the Trustee will also mutually release each other (and each other's officers, directors, employees, shareholders, attorneys, insurers, agents and affiliates, predecessors, successors, past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, assigns and heirs) from all claims or causes of action known or unknown, related to the Chapter 11 Case.

- 10. In the Trustee's professional judgment, the proposed compromise as set forth in Exhibit 1 is in the best interest of the Estate and its creditors. The Settlement Agreement will also resolve contentious litigation.
- 11. The proposed Settlement will be effective upon the entry of a final, non-appealable order approving the Settlement.

#### **BRIEF IN SUPPORT**

- A. The Settlement Is Fair and in the Best Interests of the Debtor's Estate and Should Be Authorized Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).
- and after appropriate notice and a hearing, to approve a compromise or settlement so long as the proposed compromise or settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate. See Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); Depoister v. Mary M. Holloway Found., 36 F.3d 582, 586 (7th Cir. 1994) ("In conducting a hearing under Rule 9019(a), the bankruptcy court is to determine whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.") (internal citations omitted); In re Andreuccetti, 975 F.2d 413, 421 (7th Cir. 1992) (Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes the court to approve a settlement if "the settlement is in the best interests of the estate."); In re Energy Co-op, Inc., 886 F.2d 921, 927 (7th Cir. 1989) ("[t]he benchmark for determining the propriety of a bankruptcy settlement is whether the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.").

- 13. Compromises are tools for expediting the administration of the case and reducing administrative costs and are favored in bankruptcy. See Fogel v. Zell, 221 F.3d 955, 960 (7th Cir. 2000) ("Judges naturally prefer to settle complex litigation than to see it litigated to the hilt, especially when it is litigation in a bankruptcy proceeding the expenses of administering the bankruptcy often consume most or even all of the bankrupt's assets."); In re Martin, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996) ("To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, compromises are favored in bankruptcy").
- 14. It is well-settled that a proposed settlement need not be the best result that the debtor could have achieved, but only must fall "within the reasonable range of litigation possibilities." Energy Co-op, 886 F.2d at 929.
  - 15. As further guidance, the Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidelines:

Central to the bankruptcy judge's determination is a comparison of the settlement's terms with the litigation's probable costs and probable benefits. Among the factors the bankruptcy judge should consider in [the] analysis are the litigation's probability of success, the litigation's complexity, and the litigation's attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay.

<u>LaSalle Nat'l Bank v. Holland</u> (<u>In re Am. Reserve Corp.</u>), 841 F.2d 159, 161 (7th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted).

- 16. The Settlement Agreement, negotiated at arm's length and in good faith, achieves a result that is in the best interest of the Debtor and its Estate. As set forth more specifically in Exhibit 1, the Settlement Agreement resolves the pending litigation without further motion practice, discovery or a trial.
- 17. For these reasons, the Settlement Agreement maximizes the value of the Estate's assets and minimizes the burden to the Estate. The Settlement Agreement should be approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

### **NOTICE**

18. The Trustee will provide notice of this Motion pursuant to FED. R. BANK. P. 2002(a)(3) or as otherwise directed by this Court. The Notice shall include a definitive time in which any party will be required to file and serve an objection stating with specificity its objection.

## NO PRIOR REQUEST

19. No prior motion for the relief requested herein has been made to the Court in this case.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court enter an order approving the Settlement Agreement, as it is in the best interests of the Estate and its creditors.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /s/ Shawna M. Eikenberry

Terry E. Hall (#22041-49) Kevin M. Toner (#11343-49) Shawna Meyer Eikenberry (#21615-53) 300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782 Telephone: (317) 237-0300 Facsimile: (317) 237-1000

kevin.toner@faegrebd.com shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com

terry.hall@faegrebd.com

Counsel for James A. Knauer, Chapter 11 Trustee

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 28, 2016, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt davidabt@mwt.net

Kay Dee Baird kbaird@kdlegal.com

C. R. Bowles, Jr cbowles@ bgdlegal.com

Kent A Britt kabritt@vorys.com

Lisa Koch Bryant courtmail@fbhlaw.net

Deborah Caruso dcaruso@rubin-levin.net

Joshua Elliott Clubb joshclubb@gmail.com

Jack S. Dawson jdawson@millerdollarhide.com

David Alan Domina dad@dominalaw.com

Shawna M. Eikenberry shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com

Robert Hughes Foree robertforee@bellsouth.net

Thomas P. Glass tpglass@strausstroy.com

Paul M. Hoffman paul.hoffmann@stinsonleonard.com

Jeffrey L Hunter jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov

Todd J. Johnston tjohnston@mcjllp.com

Edward M King tking@fbtlaw.com

Theodore A. Konstantinopoulos ndohbky@jbandr.com

David L. LeBas dlebas@namanhowell.com

James T. Young james@rubin-levin.net

Karen L. Lobring lobring@msn.com

John D Dale, Jr. Johndaleatty@msn.com

Christopher E. Baker cbaker@thbklaw.com

David W. Brangers dbrangers@lawyer.com

Kayla D. Britton

kayla.britton@faegrebd.com

John R. Burns, III john.burns@faegrebd.com

Ben T. Caughey

ben.caughey@icemiller.com

Jason W. Cottrell jwc@stuartlaw.com

Dustin R. DeNeal dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

Daniel J. Donnellon ddonnellon@ficlaw.com

Jeffrey R. Erler jerler@ghjhlaw.com

Sandra D. Freeburger sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com

Patrick B. Griffin

patrick.griffin@kutakrock.com

John David Hoover jdhoover@hooverhull.com

Jay Jaffe

jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com

Jill Zengler Julian Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov

James A. Knauer jak@kgrlaw.com

Randall D. LaTour rdlatour@vorys.com

Martha R. Lehman mlehman@kdlegal.com

Kim Martin Lewis kim.lewis@dinslaw.com

Jason A. Lopp jlopp@wyattfirm.com

John W. Ames james@bgdlegal.com

Robert A. Bell rabell@vorys.com

Steven A. Brehm sbrehm@bgdlegal.com

Joe Lee Brown

Joe.Brown@Hardincounty.biz

John R. Carr, III jrciii@acs-law.com

Bret S. Clement bclement@acs-law.com

Kirk Crutcher

kcrutcher@mcs-law.com

Laura Day Delcotto ldelcotto@dlgfirm.com

Trevor L. Earl tearl@rwsvlaw.com

William K. Flynn wkflynn@strausstroy.com

Melissa S. Giberson msgiberson@vorys.com

Terry E. Hall

terry.hall@faegrebd.com

John Huffaker

john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com

James Bryan Johnston bjtexas59@hotmail.com

Jay P. Kennedy jpk@kgrlaw.com

Erick P. Knoblock eknoblock@daleeke.com

David A. Laird

david.laird@moyewhite.com

Scott R. Leisz sleisz@bgdlegal.com

James B. Lind jblind@vorys.com

John Hunt Lovell john@lovell-law.net

Harmony A. Mappes harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Kelly Greene McConnell lisahughes@givenspursley.com

William Robert Meyer, II rmeyer@stites.com

Allen Morris amorris@stites.com

Matthew Daniel Neumann mneumann@hhclaw.com

Matthew J. Ochs kim.maynes@moyewhite.com

Ross A. Plourde ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com

Timothy T. Pridmore tpridmore@mcjllp.com

Eric W. Richardson ewrichardson@vorys.com

Mark A. Robinson mrobinson@vhrlaw.com

Joseph H. Rogers jrogers@millerdollarhide.com

Niccole R. Sadowski nsadowski@thbklaw.com

Ivana B. Shallcross ishallcross@bgdlegal.com

James E. Smith, Jr. jsmith@smithakins.com

Joshua N. Stine kabritt@vorys.com

Meredith R. Theisen mtheisen@rubin-levin.net

Christopher M. Trapp ctrapp@rubin-levin.net

Andrew James Vandiver avandiver@aswdlaw.com

Ronald J. Moore

Ronald.Moore@usdoj.gov

John Frederick Massouh john.massouh@sprouselaw.com

James Edwin McGhee mcghee@derbycitylaw.com

Kevin J. Mitchell kevin.mitchell@faegrebd.com

Judy Hamilton Morse judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com

Walter Scott Newbern wsnewbern@msn.com

Jessica Lynn Olsheski jessica.olsheski@justice-law.net

Brian Robert Pollock bpollock@stites.com

Anthony G. Raluy traluy@fbhlaw.net

Joe T. Roberts jratty@windstream.net

Jeremy S. Rogers Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com

Michael Benton Willey michael.willey@ag.tn.gov

Thomas C. Scherer tscherer@bgdlegal.com

Sarah Elizabeth Sharp sarah.sharp@faegrebd.com

William E. Smith, III wsmith@k-glaw.com

Andrew D. Stosberg astosberg@lloydmc.com

John M. Thompson

john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com

Chrisandrea L. Turner clturner@stites.com

Andrea L. Wasson andrea@wassonthornhill.com

Sean T. White

swhite@hooverhull.com

Michael W. McClain mmcclain@mcclaindewees.com

Brian H. Meldrum bmeldrum@stites.com

Terrill K. Moffett

kendalcantrell@moffettlaw.com

Erin Casey Nave enave@taftlaw.com

Shiv Ghuman O'Neill shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com

Michael Wayne Oyler moyler@rwsvlaw.com

Wendy W. Ponader wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com

Eric C. Redman ksmith@redmanludwig.com

David Cooper Robertson crobertson@stites.com

Jason P. Wischmeyer jason@wischmeyerlaw.com

Steven Eric Runyan ser@kgrlaw.com

Stephen E. Schilling seschilling@strausstroy.com

Suzanne M Shehan suzanne.shehan@kutakrock.com

Amanda Dalton Stafford ads@kgrlaw.com

Matthew R. Strzynski indyattorney@hotmail.com

Kevin M. Toner kevin.toner@faegrebd.com

U.S. Trustee

ustpregion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov

Jennifer Watt jwatt@kgrlaw.com

I further certify that on March 28, 2016, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Thomas Richard Alexander, II tra@rgba-law.com

/s/ Shawna M. Eikenberry